Prepared by: Barbara Hyman¹, Fritz Roka¹ & Jacqueline Burns²

1University of Florida	2University of Florida
SWFREC	CREC
2686 State Road 29 North	700 Experimental Station Road
Immokalee, FL 34142	Lake Alfred, FL 33850
(239) 658-3400	(863) 956-1151 Ext. 1285
fmro@ifas.ufl.edu	jkbu@crec.ifas.ufl.edu

Contact Information for Mechanical Harvester Contractors

Trunk Shake and Catch System

Coe-Collier Citrus Harvesting, LLP, Will Elliott 1320 N. 15th Street, Immokalee, FL 34142 (239) 658-6074, coecollier@aol.com

Canopy Shake System

Everglades Harvesting & Hauling, Inc. (CCSC), Paul J. Meador 1331 Commerce Drive, LaBelle, FL 33935 (863) 675-8500, ehhinc@aol.com

T&S Harvesting (CCSC), Tom Visser PO Box 669 , Felda, FL 33930 (863) 675-4046

Mutual Harvesting Co. (T-CS), Carson Futch PO Box 1687, Lakeland, FL 33802-1687 (863) 682-2022, futchcitrus@msn.com

Evaluating Citrus Mechanical Harvesting Systems



Objectives of Mechanical Harvesting

- » Decrease harvesting costs
- » Increase "on-tree" revenue
- » Increase overall labor productivity
- » Reduce the number of needed harvest workers

2004-2005 Commercially Available Machines



Trunk-Shake-Catch (TSC)

A TSC set includes three machines--a shaker, a receiver, and a field truck (goat). Trunks are shaken between 5 and 10 seconds to remove fruit. Trees have to be "skirted" to allow shaker and receiving units to position underneath the tree canopy. Fruit is caught and conveyed to a cart holding up to 90 boxes of fruit.

Continuous Canopy Shake & Catch (CCSC)



One CCSC set includes a minimum of four machines--two harvesting units and two field trucks. Working in parallel, a CCSC system travels between 1 and 2 mph down each side of the tree row. Shaker heads penetrate the canopy to remove fruit. Caught fruit is conveyed to a trailing field truck. CCSC system is well suited for long rows and uniform sized trees. Trees have to be "skirted" to allow optimal fruit collection.

Tractor Drawn Canopy Shake (T-CS)



T-CS uses a harvesting mechanism similar to the CCSC. T-CS harvests fruit from one side of the tree canopy at a time, dropping fruit to the ground. A hand crew picks up ground fruit and gleans remaining fruit in the tree. Suited for older, non-uniform trees. Skirting is recommended but not necessary. Average values collected from the 2000-2004 seasons.

		TSC		CCSC		T-CS		
		Hamlin	Valencia	Ham lin	Valencia	Ham lin	Valencia	
Avg. Yield	Bx/acre	554	371	460	375	377	312	
Removal	%	95%	95%	95%	95%	91%	90%	
Recovery	%	87%	88%	90%	90%	99%	99%	
Harvest Speed	Tree/hr	190	229	361	466	184	298	
Labor Productivity	Bx/man-hr	96	76	103	122	16	20	

The data above represents systems used in a variety of grove conditions **without** abscission chemicals.

Calculating the Adoption Decision

Grower Benefits (\$/**Acre)**

- » Difference between hand and mechanical harvesting price
- **»** Recovery % (with or without gleaning services)
- » Yield (bx/acre)

Grower Costs (\$/Acre)

- » Value of non-harvested fruit/delivered-in price
- » Annual skirting
- » Cost of grove/tree preparation

If Benefits > Cost, Consider Mechanical Harvesting

Website for spreadsheet model available at the University of Florida, Southwest Florida Research & Education Center's website: http://www.imok.ufl.edu/economics

Machine Performance Statistics